From:Rogitz & Assoc.

619 338 8078

09/08/2008 17:15

#126 P.001/002

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP - 8 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Walker) Art Unit: 3763
)
Serial No.: 09/939,239) Examiner: Desanto
)
Filed: August 24, 2001) 001/017 (1-3) USA
)
) September 8, 2008
) 750 B STREET, Suite 3120
) San Diego, CA 92101
)

COMMUNICATION REGARDING PAPER DATED SEPTEMBER 5, 2008

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

The paper dated September 5, 2008 noting the Reply Brief filed June 24, 2008 fails to conform to the MPEP. Specifically, the paper contains comments beyond the mere withdrawal of rejections approved by MPEP §1208(II); instead, it embarks on a mini-essay that is nowhere authorized in the MPEP unless by Supplemental Examiner's Answer - but this the paper cannot be, since it lacks the approval of the Technology Center Director as would otherwise be required under MPEP §1207.05. Accordingly, the paper is entitled to no weight or consideration, being beyond the scope permitted by Patent Office rules.

1156-017-RP1

From:Rogitz & Assoc.

CASE NO.: 001/017 Serial No.: 09/939,239 September 8, 2008 Page 2 PATENT

The section of the se

Filed: August 24, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz

Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record

750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg